ADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 3729

Examiner: S. Smith

In re application of:

Gamel et al.

Serial No.:09/466,545

Filed: December 17, 1999

COMPONENT ALIGNMENT **METHODS**

JAN 2 8 2003

Group 3700

RESPONSE TO OFFICE COMMUNICATION AND REQUEST FOR COMPLETENESS WITH AUTHORIZATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FEE

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222-2312 March 7, 2002

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Communication dated February 26, 2002 (the "Office Communication") issued in connection with the above-identified application ("subject application") Applicant submits the following remarks.

REMARKS

1. Prior response was fully responsive

The Office Communication asserts that Applicant's response filed November 11, 2001 ("Applicant's prior response") was not fully responsive to the prior Office Action dated October 3, 2001 ("the prior Office Action"). Specifically, the Office Communication asserts that the Applicant failed to respond to the Examiner's contentions regarding the §112, second paragraph

PI-820456 v1

in paragraph 5 of the prior Office Action, and regarding the §102(e) rejections in paragraph 7 and §102/103 rejections in paragraph 9 of the prior Office Action.

Applicant respectfully submits that Applicant's prior response was fully responsive to the prior Office Action. In the Detailed Action section of the prior Office Action the Examiner provided only a provisional double patenting rejection of claims 1,3,5,7-9,44-54, 61-70, 74-83 of the subject application over copending Application Serial No. 09/466,483. The Examiner also copied and pasted a detailed action section ("old detailed action") from an office action dated July 17, 2001 and issued for the copending application No. 09/466,483. Applicant reasonably interpreted the prior Office Action to contain only the provisional double patenting rejections and was led to believe as much by the Examiner in a telephone communication initiated by the undersigned attorney shortly after the prior Office Action was received. Nevertheless, Applicant believes that if there was any applicability of the rejections of the old detailed action to the subject application, Applicant has addressed such rejections, as best understood, by canceling claims 1, 8, 44-53, 61, 65-70 and 74-82 of the subject application in Applicant's prior response making the rejections recited in paragraphs 5, 7 and 9 of the prior Office Action irrelevant. Applicant further submits that if the prior office action is interpreted to contain other than provisional double patenting rejections, then the Examiner's prior Office Action was not complete under 37 CFR 1.104(b) because it failed to provide a correspondence between the claims of the two applications. Similarly, the Office Communication is incomplete, because it fails to provide which claims of the subject application are rejected under paragraphs 5, 7 and 9 of the prior Office Action, which refers to the claims of the copending application.

3. Request for completeness

Applicant respectfully submits that Applicant's prior response was fully responsive. If the PTO determines otherwise, Applicant requests that the Examiner identifies which claims of the subject application are rejected under each of the paragraphs of old detailed action which was pasted on the prior Office Action and which refers to claims of the copending application.

4. Extension of time

Applicant submits that no petition of extension of time and fee is required, because Applicant's prior response was fully responsive under the circumstances. If, however, the PTO





determines that an extension fee is due, the PTO is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account 11-1110 for those fees and deem such authorization as a petition for extension of time.

5. Conclusion

Applicant submits that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and passage to allowance of the subject application at an early date are earnestly solicited. If the undersigned can be of assistance in advancing the subject application to allowance, the Examiner may contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

Maria Comninou

Registration No. 44,626 Attorney for Applicant

Kirkpatrick & Lockhart LLP Henry W. Oliver Building 535 Smithfield Street Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Telephone: (412) 355-6583

Fax: (412) 355-6501



Henry W. Oliver Building 535 Smithfield Street Pittsburgh, PA 15222-2312 412.355.6500

Fax: 412.355.6501 Fax: 412.355.6461

FAX

Date • January 28, 2003

No. of Pages, 9 including coversheet •

Transmit To •

Name

Company

Phone

Fax

Customer Serv. Rep. Trudy

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office Group Art Unit 3729 703 306-5648 70

703 872-9301

Mitchell TC 3700

From • Maria Comninou, Esq.

Secretary •

Phone • 412-355-6583

Phone • 412 355-8688

COMMENTS: Copy of response to office communication filed 03/07/2002 RE: U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/466,545 Attorney Docket 96794DIV3.

JAN 2 8 2003 Group 3700

When you are sending to us, please be sure to include a cover sheet with your transmittal and a telephone number where you can be contacted in case of equipment malfunction.

Transmitted by:

Time:

IMPORTANT: The materials transmitted by this facsimile are sent by an attorney or his/her egent, and are considered confidential and are intended only for the use of the individual or entity named. If the addressee is a client, these materials may also be subject to applicable privileges. If the recipient of these materials is not the addressee, or the employee or agent responsible for the delivery of these materials to the addressee, please be aware that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us at 412.355.6726 (collect) and return the transmitted materials to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service. We will reimburse you any costs incurred in connection with this erroneous transmission and your return of these materials. Thank you. Please report problems with reception by calling 412.355.6726.

PATENT 96794DIV3

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 3729

Examiner: S. Smith

In re application of:

Gamel et al.

Serial No.:09/466,545

Filed: December 17, 1999

COMPONENT ALIGNMENT

METHODS

HECEIVED

JAN 2 8 2003

Group 3700

COOMUNICATION WITH COPY OF THE RESPONSE FILED ON 03/07/2002 AND PROOF OF MAILING

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222-2312 January 28, 2003

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231 Attn: Trudy Mitchell

Sir:

In response to a telephone communication with Customer Service Representative Trudy Mitchell, it was discovered that the Response filed by Applicant on March 7, 2002 for the above-referenced patent application was misplaced by the US PTO. At the request of Customer Service Representative Mitchell, a copy of the response filed March 7, 2002, proof of mailing and proof of receipt by the PTO are attached.

Certification of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below

Type or print name of person signing certification

Maria Comninou

Signature Men Date 1 28 2003

Pl-959429 v1

Expedited review of the Response and examination of the subject application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Maria Comninou Registration No. 44,626 Attorney for Applicant

Kirkpatrick & Lockhart LLP Henry W. Oliver Building 535 Smithfield Street Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Telephone: (412) 355-6583

(412) 355-6501





Party#18

S.N. 09/46,545 Docket No. 9679401V3
Title: Component alignment

The PTO acknowledges, and has stamped hereon, the date of receipt of the below items, which were mailed _______:

1]	New Pat. Appl. Tran.
•	,	(Utility, Design, CPA, RCE, PROV.)
Ī	11	Fee Transmittal (2x)
í	1 1	Transmittal Letter
í	ī	PCT Request and fee calc. sheet
ì	' 5	PCT Chapter 2 Demand
ì	í	Specification
í	i	sheets of informal drawings
ì	Í	sheets of formal drawings
ř	•	sheets of formal drawings F Maint. Fee Transmittal Oath/App. of Agent
ř	1	Oath/App. of Agent
ì	•	
ì	•	A The
ř	1	Amendment \2
'n	;	3.73 Statement
ř	•	Terminal Disclaimer
ř	4	Amendment 3.73 Statement Terminal Disclaimer Declaration(s)
ř	•	Information Disclosure Statement
ř	4	Form PTO 1449
ř	=	References
1		Notes elices

Priority document Foreign Draft Check Issue Fee PTO Forms 85(b) Fee Indication Sheet

Express Mail

press Mail Corporate Acct. No. POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE Become HASHINGTON, EC 20231-COMM. FOR PATERIS SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR **NSURANCE COVERAGE LIMITS** ERVICE GUARANTEE AND UIOO'SGSN'MMM **Customer Copy**







PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Gamel et al.

Serial No: 09/466,545

Group No.: 3700

Flied: December 17, 1999 Examiner: S. Smith

For:

COMPONENT ALIGNMENT METHODS

Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE

"Express Mail" label number <u>BU150767402US</u>

Date of Deposit March 7, 2002

I hereby certify that the following attached paper or fee

RESPONSE TO OFFICE COMMUNICATION AND REQUEST FOR COMPLETENESS WITH AUTHORIZATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FEE

is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

> Beth H. Reton ped or printed name of person mailing paper or fee) Getter

(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)

NOTE:

Each paper must have its own certificate and the "Express Mail" label number as a part thereof or attached thereto. When, as here, the certification is presented on a separate sheet, that sheet must (1) be signed and (2) fully identify and be securely attached to the paper or fee it accompanies. Identification should include the serial number and filing date of the application as well as the type of paper being filed, e.g. complete application, specification and drawings, responses to rejection or refusal, notice of appeal, etc. If the serial number of the application is not known, the identification should include at least the name of the inventor(s) and the title of the invention.

NOTE:

The label number need not be placed in each page. It should, however, be placed on the first page of each separate document, such as, a new application, amendment, assignment, and transmittal letter for a fee, along with the certificate of mailing by "Express Mail." Although the label number may be on checks, such a practice is not required. In order not to deface formal drawings it is suggested that the label number be placed on the back of each formal drawing or the drawings be accompanied by a set of informal drawings on which the label number is placed.

(Express Mail Certificate [8-3])

PI-820849 v1 0212735-0199